Group:	RSPCA Australia	Amendment:	Governance	ID code:	1035
Control:	Public domain	Type:	Administration	Authoriser:	EO
Status:	Released	Date:	12/01/2023	Supervisor:	EO
Title:	RSPCA Standards Development and Review				SSO-FA

RSPCA Approved Farming Scheme Standards

Development and review process

Background

The vision of the RSPCA Approved Farming Scheme (the Scheme) is a future of higher welfare farming. It focuses on improving the quality of life of farmed animals and providing guidance and a trustworthy choice to consumers wanting to purchase products from higher welfare farming systems that are routinely assessed through a robust certification process. The Scheme is underpinned by the RSPCA Approved Farming Scheme Standards (the Standards) which set out animal welfare focussed requirements that aim to meet the animal's behavioural and physiological needs and are designed to assist the relevant industry to continually improve and demonstrate better animal welfare outcomes.

Each Standard review aims to ensure continuous improvement of the welfare of animals. The Standards, during each development and review process, consider the following:

- RSPCA policy and position papers
- Animal welfare science research and technology in Australia and overseas
- Relevant regulations in Australia, including legislation, codes of practice and standards and guidelines for animal welfare
- Technical, veterinary and producer advice and experience
- Current industry practice compared to best practice
- Commercial practicality and feasibly of a requirement
- Financial implications of a requirement and whether a phase-in period is appropriate
- Public concerns and expectations around animal welfare
- Unintended negative animal welfare outcomes which could occur because of a requirement.

Types of Standard development and review processes

- Development of a new Standard
- Development of new standards (requirements) to expand the scope of an existing Standard (e.g. breeder bird or hatchery standards for meat chickens)
- Formal Standard review that occurs approximately every five years to update the Standard to
 progressively improve animal welfare based on new science, improved industry practice, and/or
 changes in legislation where appropriate.
- Ad hoc Standard review that occurs as required to review certain clause/s or a section in response to new science, changes in legislation or industry practice.

Standards development and review - Roles and responsibilities

RSPCA Australia Science Team

The RSPCA Australia Science Team is responsible for:

- coordinating and conducting a Standard development or review process
- commissioning a literature review
- chairing and coordinating communications with the Technical Advisory Group (see below)
- producing draft/s for public consultation
- providing input into deliberations of the Management Advisory Group (see below)
- providing a final draft Standard for approval by the RSPCA Australia Board.

Reference: RSF	SPCA-ADM-02 Version:	4.5	Page:	1 of 5	© RSPCA Australia
----------------	----------------------	-----	-------	--------	-------------------

Group:	RSPCA Australia	Amendment:	Governance	ID code:	1035
Control:	Public domain	Type:	Administration	Authoriser:	EO
Status:	Released	Date:	12/01/2023	Supervisor:	EO
Title:	RSPCA Standards Development and Review			Owner:	SSO-FA

RSPCA Approved

The RSPCA Approved business unit is responsible for:

- providing relevant information and feedback when requested during a Standard development or review process
- managing general communications with relevant stakeholders during the consultation phase
- advising stakeholders and notifying them of the release of a new or revised Standard
- updating the RSPCA Approved website
- rolling out the Standard following completion of the development or review process.

RSPCA Australia Certification Body

The RSPCA Australia Certification Body is responsible for:

 providing relevant information and feedback when requested during a Standard development or review process

RSPCA Approved Farming Scheme Standard Technical Advisory Group (TAG)

The TAG aims to provide a forum for relevant stakeholders to share and discuss technical, practical and evidence-based advice and information relevant to the draft Standard including its application in a commercial setting and/or clarity and intent of the requirements. The TAG is an advisory body to the RSPCA Australia Science team and is not responsible for making decisions relating to the requirements of the finalised Standard. Membership of the TAG is by invitation from RSPCA Australia and involvement is expected for the length of the Standard development or review process. The sharing of information with and among TAG members is confidential unless stated otherwise.

See RSPCA Approved Farming Scheme Standard Technical Advisory Group Terms of Reference document.

RSPCA Management Advisory Group (MAG)

The MAG reviews the consultation draft/s and finalised draft Standard and makes decisions and/or recommends where appropriate on contentious issues identified during the Standard drafting process. Decisions are made on a consensus basis with due consideration of all the factors impacting the Standard development or review process. Where consensus cannot be reached the decision may be referred to AWEC (see below).

The MAG membership includes:

- RSPCA Australia Chief Executive Officer
- RSPCA Australia Chief Science Officer
- Manager RSPCA Approved
- RSPCA Australia Communication and Campaigns Lead

Animal Welfare and Ethics Committee (AWEC) and RSPCA Australia Board

AWEC reviews the finalised draft Standard, aims to resolve any contentious issues, and then provides a recommendation to the RSPCA Australia Board regarding suitability for publication. The RSPCA Australia Board then reviews the AWEC recommendation and the finalised draft Standard and decides whether to endorse the Standard for publication.

	Reference:	RSPCA-ADM-02	Version:	4.5	Page:	2 of 5	© RSPCA Australia	l
--	------------	--------------	----------	-----	-------	--------	-------------------	---

Group:	RSPCA Australia	Amendment:	Governance	ID code:	1035
Control:	Public domain	Type:	Administration	Authoriser:	EO
Status:	Released Date: 12/01/2023 S				EO
Title:	RSPCA Standards Development and Review				SSO-FA

Standard development and formal Standard review process (1 - 2 years)

Action	Responsibility	Timeframe
Decision made to commence Standard development or review	RSPCA Approved	open
The decision to commence a new Standard development	Science	
process must be agreed upon by the RSPCA Australia Board		
Project Launch Meeting	Science	2 weeks
Science Team, RSPCA Approved and Certification Body meet to	RSPCA Approved	
discuss key animal welfare and compliance issues and areas to	Certification body	
address during the Standard development or review process.		
Stakeholder engagement to be determined with key points of		
contact, including record keeping requirements.		
Commission literature review	Science	4 – 8 weeks
 Drafting, EOIs, selection and arranging 	Accounts	
contracts/payment	CEO	
Literature review (for internal RSPCA use only)	Science	3 – 6 months
- Establishing outline, communicating with consultant/s		
and reviewing drafts and approving final version as		
complete.		
On Site visits	Science	
- Project Lead from Science Team to determine key	RSPCA Approved	
areas of interest for science on site visits and liaise	RSPCA Administration	
with RSPCA Approved to identify potential on site	team	
locations (those on the Scheme and not).		
Establish TAG	Science	2 – 3 weeks
- Develop potential members list, send invitations,	RSPCA Approved	
finalise list and prepare paperwork.	Certification Body	4.6.1
Feedback on current Standard – TAG and wider consultation	Science	4 – 6 weeks
including member Societies	RSPCA Approved	
- Create stakeholder list, arrange engagement with		
stakeholders, reminder follow ups and review and compilation of feedback.		
Drafting of first consultation draft Standard and explanatory	Science	8 weeks
notes	MAG	o weeks
- Summarise key animal welfare issues, stakeholder	IVIAG	
feedback on current Standard and develop first draft,		
and finalise first draft		
KEY CHECKPOINT	Science	
- MAG Approval of first draft prior to distribution to	MAG	
TAG and stakeholders.		
TAG meeting (virtual) to discuss first draft revised Standard	Science	6-10 weeks
- Review first draft, arrange meetings, maintain records	RSPCA Approved –	0 20 11 00 110
of feedback in meeting and provided post meeting.	administrative support	
Stakeholder consultation on draft Standard and explanatory	Science	
notes (TAG and wider stakeholder consultation)	RSPCA Approved	
- Engage stakeholders and provide time for written	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
feedback with reminders, review and compile		
feedback.		
Drafting of post-consultation draft Standard	Science	4 – 6 weeks
- Identify potentially contentious issues, draft Standard	MAG	-
and prepare post-consultation draft for TAG review.	RSPCA Approved	
TAG meeting (virtual) to discuss post-consultation draft	Science	7
Standard		

	Reference:	RSPCA-ADM-02	Version:	4.5	Page:	3 of 5	© RSPCA Australia	
--	------------	--------------	----------	-----	-------	--------	-------------------	--

Group:	RSPCA Australia	Amendment:	Governance	ID code:	1035
Control:	Public domain	Type:	Administration	Authoriser:	EO
Status:	Released	Date:	12/01/2023	Supervisor:	EO
Title:	RSPCA Standards Development and Review			Owner:	SSO-FA

Discuss drafts to date and potential contentious issues, summarise and record feedback and action taken.		
Finalising Standard and supporting documentation	Science MAG	2 – 4 weeks
KEY CHECKPOINT	Science	
A second stakeholder consultation phase may be required if significant changes are made to the post consultation draft Standard	MAG	
 Determine if second site visit(s) are necessary for any technical assessment or relevant advice on practical feasibility of proposed standards. 		
Final Standard and supporting documentation (including gap analysis and gap explanation) submitted for approval process.	Science Relevant Board Committee	
Finalisation of approval process with RSPCA Australia Board	Science RSPCA Australia Board	
Prepare final Standard for publication	Comms	1 week
 Formatting, design and development of associated documents. 	RSPCA Approved Science	
Science Team to provide final Standard, explanatory notes and summary of key changes to RSPCA Approved for publication and implementation - RSPCA Approved to send to all relevant stakeholders - RSPCA Approved to publish the Standard on website	Science Comms RSPCA Approved	1 week
Communications assessment	Science	
 Identify key areas in the Standard that require further comms pieces where appropriate. 	RSPCA Approved Comms	
Relevant documents and webpages are updated where required - KB articles - Documents and webpages referencing the Standard - Standard intent document for conformance assessment	Science RSPCA Approved Certification Body Comms	open

Ad hoc Standard review process (3 - 6 months)

Action	Responsibility	Timeframe
Decision made to commence Standard section and/or	RSPCA Approved	open
requirement/s review	Science	
Project Launch Meeting	Science	2 weeks
Science Team, RSPCA Approved and Certification Body meet to	RSPCA Approved	
discuss key animal welfare and compliance issues and areas to	Certification Body	
address during the Standard development or review process.		
Stakeholder engagement to be determined with key points of		
contact, including record keeping requirements		
Review relevant scientific literature on requirement/s of	Science	2 weeks
concern, including the animal welfare issues and ways to		
address them		
Drafting of revised Standard requirement/s and explanatory	Science	2 weeks
notes (includes summary of relevant scientific literature and	MAG	
rationale for any change to requirement/s)		

Reference: RSP	PCA-ADM-02 Version:	4.5	Page:	4 of 5	© RSPCA Australia
----------------	---------------------	-----	-------	--------	-------------------

Group:	RSPCA Australia	Amendment:	Governance	ID code:	1035
Control:	Public domain	Type:	Administration	Authoriser:	EO
Status:	Released	Date:	12/01/2023	Supervisor:	EO
Title:	RSPCA Standards Development and Review			Owner:	SSO-FA

	,	_
 Determine need for TAG (members previously 		
involved in the Standard development or review		
process) involvement in review process		
 MAG meetings as required 		
 Finalised draft provided to MAG for approval 		
Stakeholder consultation on draft Standard and explanatory	Science	4 weeks
notes (TAG and wider stakeholder consultation)	RSPCA Approved	
 Engage stakeholders and provide time for written 		
feedback with reminders, review and compile		
feedback.		
Drafting of post-consultation draft Standard	Science	4 – 6 weeks
- Identify potentially contentious issues, draft Standard	MAG	
and prepare post-consultation draft for TAG review.		
Finalising Standard requirement/s and supporting	Science	2 – 4 weeks
documentation	MAG	
Final Standard requirement/s and supporting documentation	Science	
submitted for approval process	MAG	
	Relevant Board	
	Committee	
	Board	
Prepare final Standard for publication	Science	1 week
 Formatting, design and development of associated 	Comms	
documents.	RSPCA Approved	
Science Team to provide final Standard, explanatory notes and	Science	1 week
summary of key changes to RSPCA Approved for publication	Comms	
and implementation	RSPCA Approved	
- RSPCA Approved to send to all relevant stakeholders		
- RSPCA Approved to publish the Standard on website		
Review and update relevant KB articles where required	Science	Open

	Reference:	RSPCA-ADM-02	Version:	4.5	Page:	5 of 5	© RSPCA Australia	l
--	------------	--------------	----------	-----	-------	--------	-------------------	---